

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/065,331	1 10/04/2002		Pierino Bonanni	121601-1	2194
6147	7590	06/29/2005		EXAMINER	
GENERA GLOBAL I		TRIC COMPANY	LE, JOHN H		
PATENT DOCKET RM. BLDG. K1-4A59				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NISKAYUNA, NY 12309				2863	
				DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	ction Summary Par	rt of Paper No./Mail Date 20050624					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:						
Attachment(s)							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some "c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 December 2002</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
Application Papers							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27 and 28</u> is/are rejected.							
5) Claim(s) 1-5,7-24,26 and 29-32 is/are allowed.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.							
Disposition of Claims							
·	ых ране Quayle, 1900 С.D. 11, 45	JS O.G. 213.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
, _	s action is non-final.	anna din na fa tha ana ''					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 June 2005</u> .							
Status							
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing.	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
	John H. Le	2863					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	10/065,331	BONANNI ET AL.					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					

Response to Amendment

1. This office action is in response to applicant's amendment received on 06/09/2005.

Claims 1, 5, 7, 11, 12, 23, 27, and 32 have been amended.

Claims 6 and 25 have been cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Khalid (USP 6,231,306) in view of Orme et al. ("Flight Assessment of the Onboard Propulsion System Model for the Performance Seeking Control Algorithm on an F-15 Aircraft", NASA, July 1995).

Regarding claim 27, Khalid teaches a system for detecting precursors to compressor stall/surge 116 comprising at least one sensor 132 positioned at said compressor 116 to monitor at least one compressor parameter (e.g. Col.2, lines 59-65), said at least one sensor outputting raw data representative of said at least one compressor parameter (e.g. Figs.1, 2, Col.3, lines 6-26); a pre-filter to reject undesirable signals from said raw data (e.g. Fig.2, Col.3, lines 43-65), said pre-filter comprises a

Application/Control Number: 10/065,331

Art Unit: 2863

band-pass filter (172) centered on a locally dominant component of the input signal (band-pass filter frequency input between 150Hz-180Hz, Col.3, lines 57-60).

Regarding claim 28, Khalid teaches said locally dominant component is tippassage frequency of said compressor (e.g. Col.3, lines 57-66).

Khalid fails to teach a frequency demodulator receiving said raw data, demodulating said raw data, and producing demodulated data; a Kalman filter obtaining stall precursors from said demodulated data.

Orme et al. teach a frequency demodulator (Performance Seeking Control PSC) receiving said raw data (pressure signal), demodulating said raw data, and producing demodulated data (e.g. Fig.2, Page 5); a Kalman filter obtaining stall precursors from said demodulated data (e.g. Fig.3, Page 5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a frequency demodulator and a Kalman filter as taught by Orme et al. in a control system for preventing a compressor stall in a gas turbine engine of Khalid for purpose of providing improving the performance of an airplane (Orme et al., Page 3).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-5, 7-24, 26, 29-32 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 1, none of the prior art of record teaches or suggests the combination of a method for detecting precursors to compressor stall/surge, wherein the

Art Unit: 2863

method comprising steps of: monitoring at least one compressor parameter to obtain raw data representative of said at least one compressor parameter; pre-processing said raw data using a frequency demodulator to produce pre-processed data comprising at least one demodulated signal having an amplitude corresponding to the instantaneous frequency of a locally dominant component of an input signal; post-processing said pre-processed data using a Kalman filter to obtain stall precursors. It is these limitations as they are claimed in the combination with other limitations of claim, which have not been found, taught or suggested in the prior art of record, that make these claims allowable over the prior art.

Regarding claim 5, none of the prior art of record teaches or suggests the combination of a method for detecting precursors to compressor stall/surge, wherein the method comprising steps of: monitoring at least one compressor parameter to obtain raw data representative of said at least one compressor parameter; wherein said monitoring comprises sampling and digitizing signals representing said at least one compressor parameter to obtain time-series analyzed data; pre-processing said raw data using a frequency demodulator to produce pre-processed data, said pre-processing being at least partially performed in the digital domain; post-processing said pre-processed data using a Kalman filter to obtain stall precursors. It is these limitations as they are claimed in the combination with other limitations of claim, which have not been found, taught or suggested in the prior art of record, that make these claims allowable over the prior art.

Art Unit: 2863

Regarding claim 11, none of the prior art of record teaches or suggests the combination of method for detecting precursors to compressor stall/surge, wherein the method comprising steps of: monitoiing at least one compressor parameter to obtain raw data representative of said at least one compressor parameter; pre-processing said raw data using a frequency demodulator to produce pre-processed data, said pre-processing being performed at least partially in the analog domain, wherein said pre-processing comprises producing a demodulated signal having an amplitude corresponding to the instantaneous frequency of a locally dominant component of an input signal; and post-processing said pre-processed data using a Kalman filter to obtain stall precursors. It is these limitations as they are claimed in the combination with other limitations of claim, which have not been found, taught or suggested in the prior art of record, that make these claims allowable over the prior art.

Regarding claim 23, none of the prior art of record teaches or suggests the combination of a system for detecting precursors to compressor stall/surge comprising: at least one sensor positioned at said compressor to monitor at least one compressor parameter, said at least one sensor outputting raw data representative of said at least one compressor parameter; a frequency demodulator receiving said raw data, demodulating said raw data, and producing demodulated data; a Kalman filter obtaining stall precursors from said demodulated data; and a calibration system for sampling and digitizing output from said at least one sensor to obtain time-series analyzed raw data. said frequency demodulator receiving said time-series analyzed raw data. It is these limitations as they are claimed in the combination with other limitations of claim, which

Application/Control Number: 10/065,331 Page 6

Art Unit: 2863

have not been found, taught or suggested in the prior art of record, that make these claims allowable over the prior art.

Regarding claim 32, none of the prior art of record teaches or suggests the combination of a system for detecting precursors to compressor stall/surge comprising: at least one sensor positioned at said compressor to monitor at least one compressor parameters said at least one sensor outputting raw data representative of said at least one compressor parameter; a frequency demodulator receiving said raw data. demodulating said raw data and producing demodulated data; a Kalman filter obtaining stall precursors from said demodulated data; and a stall precursor measure system computing a standard deviation of innovations of said Kalman filter to determine a stall precursor signal. It is these limitations as they are claimed in the combination with other limitations of claim, which have not been found, taught or suggested in the prior art of record, that make these claims allowable over the prior art.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 06/09/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

--Applicant argues that the prior did not teach "a band-pass filter centered on a locally dominant component of the input signal".

Examiner position is that Khalid teaches a band-pass filter (172) centered on a locally dominant component of the input signal (band-pass filter frequency input between 150Hz-180Hz, Col.3, lines 57-60).

Contact Information

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John H Le whose telephone number is 571-272-2275. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 4:30.

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E Barlow can be reached on 571-272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John H. Le

Patent Examiner-Group 2863

June 25, 2005